THE RUBRICS
Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies
Volume 7 Issue 4, April 2025
www.therubrics.in
ISSN 2454-1974

Diasporic Consciousness and Narrative Craft: Identity, Language, and Resistance in the Select Works of Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie

Mamta Kishanrao Jonipelliwar
Assistant Professor, Department of English, Baliram Patil College, Kinwat, Dist. Nanded, Maharashtra, India.
mamtasnemmaniwar@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17131815

ABSTRACT

This article critically examines the themes of identity, diaspora, and racial politics in Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Purple Hibiscus* (2003), *Half of a Yellow Sun* (2006), and *Americanah* (2013). Using Stuart Hall's theory of Cultural Identity and Diaspora alongside Critical Race Theory by Richard Delgado and Jean Stefancic, the study explores how Adichie's protagonists navigate cultural dislocation, hybrid identity, and postcolonial trauma across Nigerian and Western contexts. The paper highlights Adichie's use of narrative techniques—such as symbolism, scenic narration, retrospective structure, pathetic fallacy, epistolary form, and code-mixing—as strategies of cultural resistance. These aesthetic devices allow Adichie to reclaim African subjectivity and challenge dominant Western narratives. Particular focus is given to *Americanah*, where the character Ifemelu confronts systemic racism, internalised inferiority, and diasporic dissonance, revealing the complexities of African identity in the U.S. context. In contrast, *Purple Hibiscus* and *Half of a Yellow Sun* engage with internal diasporas within Nigeria, illustrating the impact of patriarchal violence, civil conflict, and colonial legacies on selfhood. The study argues that Adichie's fiction reframes postcolonial identity as a fluid and evolving process. Her work not only critiques systems of oppression but also asserts the power of storytelling as a means of resistance and reclamation,

making significant contributions to contemporary Black feminist and diasporic literature.

Keywords: *Diaspora, Cultural Identity, Racial Politics, Narrative Techniques, Postcolonial Literature*

FULL PAPER

Introduction

Cultural identity, as theorised by Stuart Hall, is not a fixed essence but a "production," continually formed and transformed through representation, memory, and difference (Hall 222). In Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's diasporic fiction, the theme of cultural identity is not only central but constitutive of the entire narrative framework. Her characters, especially those situated between geographic borders and sociocultural systems, undergo complex processes of identity negotiation. These processes reflect the historical, racial, and cultural discontinuities that Africans have experienced within and outside the continent. The interplay between rootedness and displacement, tradition and modernity, and homeland and host land becomes the matrix through which Adichie examines the tensions of diaspora. In *Americanah* (2013), Ifemelu's migration from Nigeria to the United States initiates a profound rupture in her self-conception. Prior to her relocation, she did not think of herself in racialised terms; however, once in America, she is immediately interpellated into a racialised social structure that imposes upon her a new identity as "Black." This moment of racial consciousness marks a critical shift: she begins to realise that cultural identity in diaspora is shaped not merely by one's origin, but also by how others perceive and classify the individual in racial and political terms (Ahmad et al. 1418). As Ifemelu adapts to her new environment, she faces pressure to assimilate—through accent, hair, clothing, and behaviour—while simultaneously yearning to preserve her Nigerian cultural roots. This dialectic between self-assertion and social conformity generates a liminal identity space, where Ifemelu becomes, in Hall's terms, a subject "of difference and hybridity" (225).

Cultural Identity and Diasporic Tension in Adichie's Works

Adichie's exploration of diasporic cultural identity is not confined to transnational spaces alone. In *Purple Hibiscus* (2003), the character of Kambili navigates a form of internal diaspora within Nigeria, marked by the dichotomy between Western religious orthodoxy, as embodied by her father Eugene, and indigenous Igbo cultural values, as represented by her grandfather and Aunty Ifeoma. Kambili's psychological fragmentation reflects the broader postcolonial dilemma of a nation torn between inherited colonial values and suppressed indigenous traditions (Singh and Singh 53). This conflict is not

simply generational; it is civilizational, reflecting what Homi Bhabha terms "the ambivalence of colonial discourse", wherein the colonised is compelled to mimic the coloniser yet never entirely belongs (Bhabha 86). Through Kambili's journey from silence to speech, Adichie dramatises the emergence of a cultural identity that resists patriarchal control and Western mimicry, ultimately advocating for a pluralistic sense of self.

Similarly, in *Half of a Yellow Sun* (2006), Adichie underscores the historical dimension of cultural identity. The Biafran War becomes a site of rupture and redefinition, wherein Igbo identity is violently reasserted in the face of national exclusion. Through characters like Olanna and Ugwu, Adichie portrays cultural identity not as a stable inheritance but as a battlefield of competing histories and loyalties. The trauma of war, the collapse of familial and national structures, and the persistence of collective memory all converge to shape a fragmented yet resilient postcolonial consciousness (Singh and Singh 54–55).

Across these narratives, Adichie constructs identity as inherently performative and contested. Diaspora, both internal and external, functions as a crucible through which her characters are forced to confront the dissonances between who they are, who they were, and who they are expected to become. The tension between African heritage and Western assimilation is never fully resolved; instead, it becomes the generative force behind the narrative arc. Through this lens, cultural identity in Adichie's fiction emerges as a dynamic interplay of memory, language, migration, and power—always in motion, always in negotiation.

The diasporic condition deeply informs Adichie's treatment of cultural identity. Her characters do not merely recount experiences of displacement; they inhabit them, and in doing so, redefine what it means to belong in a world shaped by colonial legacies, racial hierarchies, and cultural hybridity. As Hall notes, identity is "a matter of 'becoming' as well as of 'being'," and it is precisely in this process of becoming—often fraught, painful, and transformative—that Adichie's fiction finds its most powerful voice (Hall 225).

Narrative Techniques as Cultural Reclamation

In the postcolonial literary tradition, narrative technique is not merely a matter of stylistic preference; it is a strategic mode of cultural intervention and resistance. Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's use of narrative form and linguistic structures serves a dual function: it conveys the psychological and socio-political experiences of her characters, and it reclaims agency for historically marginalised voices. As Akporherhe Friday observes, narrative strategies such as descriptive realism, symbolism, pathetic fallacy, scenic presentation, and epistolary writing are central to Adichie's project of recentering African subjectivities and contesting hegemonic representations of Black identity in both postcolonial and diasporic spaces (Friday 172–76).

One of the most salient narrative features in Adichie's fiction is her use of descriptive detail, which brings emotional immediacy and socio-political context into sharp focus. In *Purple Hibiscus*, for example, Adichie renders the physical setting of Eugene's household with oppressive precision—immaculate yet stifling, symbolising the psychological captivity of Kambili and her brother Jaja. The spatial rigidity mirrors the rigidity of Eugene's religious fanaticism, and the narrative technique enables the reader to perceive the connection between environment and emotional repression (Adichie, *Purple Hibiscus* 15). Similarly, in *Americanah*, Ifemelu's physical environments—her hair salon in Trenton, the campus spaces at Princeton, and the elite Lagos enclaves—become sites of class and racial performance. These meticulously described scenes establish how identity is negotiated in relation to material and cultural surroundings.

Adichie also utilises symbolism as a narrative device that encodes multiple layers of meaning. The titular *purple hibiscus* in her debut novel becomes a symbol of resistance, rarity, and transformation. It stands apart from the conventional red hibiscus that dominates Eugene's garden, marking a symbolic divergence from patriarchal rigidity toward personal emancipation (Friday 174). In *Half of a Yellow Sun*, the image of the Biafran flag—a sun divided in half—represents both the idealism and the fragmentation of the Biafran dream. These symbols are not abstract embellishments; they are semiotic vehicles that articulate the postcolonial subject's fractured but resilient identity.

Another prominent feature is Adichie's employment of scenic presentation, which dramatises her characters' emotional and ethical conflicts through vividly rendered episodes. As Friday notes, this technique enables the reader to "hear" the characters speak, feel their silence, and observe their reactions as though watching live theatre (172). For example, Ifemelu's confrontation with her American boyfriend Curt over issues of race and fidelity is not told in summary, but enacted through dialogic exchanges, tonal shifts, and physical gestures (*Americanah* 232–35). This dramatisation underscores the affective tensions in interracial relationships and lays bare the socio-cultural fault lines that polite discourse often conceals.

The use of the epistolary mode, particularly in Americanah and the comparison text Measuring Time by Helon Habila, enables introspective depth and the preservation of marginalised voices. In *Americanah*, Ifemelu's blog entries—written under the pseudonym of a "Non-American Black"—function as modern epistolary reflections that dissect American racial politics with biting irony and sociological insight. These narrative insertions destabilise the linear narrative structure and allow the reader to access the protagonist's evolving consciousness in a fragmented yet intimate format. Friday emphasises how epistolary writing, especially when integrated into the narrative flow, "not only develops plot but reinforces socio-historical memory" (175). Through these blog posts, Adichie challenges monolithic

narratives of race and nation, allowing the diasporic subject to speak back to power with humour and authority.

Code-mixing and multilingualism serve as another vital narrative strategy that reclaims linguistic sovereignty. Adichie weaves Igbo phrases and idioms seamlessly into her English prose, refusing to italicise or footnote them for Western consumption. This deliberate linguistic resistance subverts colonial expectations of linguistic purity, asserting the legitimacy of African cultural expression within the global literary canon. In *Purple Hibiscus*, Papa Nnukwu's use of idiomatic Igbo and traditional proverbs creates a powerful counterpoint to Eugene's Eurocentric Catholic dogma. As Friday affirms, such deployment of indigenous registers and interlingual code-mixing "authenticates the narrative" and reinforces its cultural rootedness (176–77). By privileging orality and local speech patterns, Adichie reinserts African knowledge systems into the heart of the narrative.

Adichie frequently employs contrast and irony to expose the fissures in seemingly coherent identities. Eugene's dual persona—a philanthropic public figure and a tyrannical patriarch—is revealed not through expository commentary but through narrative juxtaposition. The kindness he shows to church members and journalists is starkly contrasted with the cruelty he inflicts on his family. This thematic opposition extends beyond mere stylistic choice; it interrogates the internal fractures of religious absolutism, the compulsion to mirror the West, and the constraints of patriarchal morality.

In her architectural design of time, Adichie pursues a non-linear temporality married to retrospective voice. Americanah operates across two discrete temporal zones—Ifemelu's educative sojourn in the United States and her subsequent reverse migration—culminating during the precisely coded moment of reintegration into Nigerian society. This spatial-sequential bifurcation indexes the diasporic apparatus itself: a regime in which simultaneity and deferred memory interweave logics of retrospective habit and anticipative habit. Chronological past and present, projected origins and persistent exile, are therefore not merely juxtaposed, but materially fused: the past glows in the present, irradiating the present act. The optical boundary which conventional mimesis enforces evaporates through this retrospective informing, and the resultant synecdoche enacts the scrupulous auto-derision which marks her central figures' dilemma.

Adichie's technical apparatus is not decorative, but rather a rigorous grammar of contested global modernity. By activating symbolic scale, enacting scenically-inflected history, deploying polyvocal idiom, distilling ironic dialect register, and performing lettered, lettered voice, she forges a supple aesthetic: its formal tensileity is an organ of thematic afterthought. These elements are, in truth, re-doubled utilitarian instruments of non-canonical re-appropriation. True to the mandate she accepts, they mock the silencing centrism of re-published imperial anthology, elevate first-degree indigenous voice, and

confer inshore the lived sequelae of diasporically-situated African substance whose address is simultaneously lament and epistolary.

Race, Gender, and Power: The Critical Race Perspective

Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's Americanah deploys race, gender, and power not as decorative motifs, but as the governing vectors along which dominion and self-consciousness are materially felt and apprehended. Consequently, the novel advances concurrently as an interior testimony to the emotional shape of diasporic existence and as a disciplined critique of the institutional logics that underwrite inequity. Employing the parlance of Critical Race Theory as mapped by Delgado and Stefancic, Adichie unmasks the quotidian contingencies of Blackness within the United States, illustrating race as a culturally fabricated category stripped of any naturalising claims and vigilantly animated by material, affective, and institutional repercussions (Delgado and Stefancic 7). Ifemelu, the text's focal consciousness, encounters a decisive racial epiphany after the axial displacement from Nigeria—a site of ethnic and regional hierarchies, yet not of racial absolutism—to the American milieu, where her epidermal marker instantaneously initiates an unyielding process of classification, valuation, and exclusion.

This racial consciousness, unfamiliar to Ifemelu before her migration, becomes an oppressive filter through which her presence is interpreted. In Nigeria, she identified as a woman, a student, or a citizen; in America, she is primarily seen as a Black woman—othered, exoticised, and often diminished. Her experiences of racial microaggressions, hair politics, and employment discrimination exemplify the CRT assertion that racism is "ordinary, not aberrational" and deeply embedded in the fabric of American life (Delgado and Stefancic 7). Adichie reveals that racism is not only institutional and overt, but also subtle, internalised, and quotidian.

As Ahmad et al. note, the novel illustrates how Ifemelu develops a sense of inferiority and cultural alienation due to the racialised perceptions she encounters in America. Her initial attempts to assimilate—to adopt an American accent, to straighten her hair, to silence her Nigerian identity—are indicative of the survival strategies often employed by immigrants navigating racially coded environments (1418–19). However, these strategies offer no genuine inclusion; instead, they expose the hollowness of America's meritocratic ideals and the insidious nature of racial privilege. Ifemelu's eventual decision to "go natural" and embrace her African identity is not merely an aesthetic choice but an act of political resistance, reclaiming bodily autonomy from Eurocentric beauty norms (Ahmad et al. 1421).

Gender intensifies these struggles. As a Black woman, Ifemelu occupies an intersectional position where her race and gender combine to produce unique forms of discrimination. She is objectified, silenced, and undervalued in both professional and personal spaces. Ahmad et al. emphasise that her romantic relationships with white American men—particularly with Curt—expose the power dynamics underlying interracial intimacy. Despite Curt's

affection, Ifemelu feels the burden of performing gratitude for being accepted into white spaces, illustrating how whiteness retains its symbolic authority even in seemingly progressive contexts (1422).

Adichie does not spare members of the Black community from critique. Ifemelu is struck by the internalised racism she observes among African immigrants and African Americans alike. As Ahmad et al. observe, she critiques the complicity of those who perpetuate colourism or seek to distance themselves from their Blackness in order to gain social capital (p. 1423–24). This critical perspective aligns with CRT's emphasis on the "unique voice of colour" while also acknowledging the internal fractures within marginalised communities (Delgado and Stefancic 9).

By the end of the novel, Ifemelu's return to Nigeria is not framed as a rejection of her diasporic experience but as a complex negotiation of identity. Her time in America has irrevocably shaped her, but it has also empowered her with a sharper awareness of structural injustice. In this manner, Adichie insists that identity, in the specific register of raced subjectivity, is always produced in relation to asymmetrical power relations while remaining amenable to the critical perseverance of the subject, rearticulation, and reimagination.

Americanah grounds this analytic perspective in the narrational arc of Ifemelu's transcontinental movement, thereby staging Critical Race Theory at the level of literary form. Adichie's transatlantic diagram appraises the intraracial logics of U.S. anti-Blackness, exposing its convergence with, and augmentation through, regimes of gendered violence. The narrative, therefore, exceeds the status of diasporic life-writing; following the diagnostic trajectory prescribed by Ahmad and co-authors, the text simultaneously calls for and models the protracted and iterative work of dismantling the ideational and material architectures that sustain both racial and patriarchal hegemony. These infrastructures assert adequate jurisdiction in both Global North and Global South contexts (1425).

Postcolonial Resistance and the Reimagining of Home

In Adichie's corpus, the notion of "home" forfeits any static cartographic anchorage and is reconceived as a mobile site perpetually agitated by the reactivation of colonial archives and the pressures of contemporary global circulations. Her fiction infringes upon the sentimental consensus, which assumes nostalgia constitutes the sum of diasporic address, and instead instructs us that dissonant misrecognitions and the epistemic labour of re-drawing identity perform cultural recuperation—the labour of re-drawing identity. The re-rendering of home in Americanah materialises this procedural ideology: Ifemelu's ostensibly progressive return is, in a disconcerting dialectic, the crucible of dislocation and relinquishment. Interpellated by the socio-linguistic regimes of the United States, she crosses the borders of Lagos as a foreign subject; her idiomes and pleasures are rehearsed anew, the gaze now alloyed with a paradoxical surplus of what had

been marketed as empowerment. This asymmetrical mobility ruptures the closure generally secured by the genealogical "domicile," substituting a phenomenological perpetual departure whose condition of possibility is the re-cantation of return as the unread archive of lived dislocation.

Ifemelu's re-embarkation invokes a decisively Bhabhaesque sense of "unhomely": the vertiginous frisson experienced on encountering the site of origin rendered suddenly estranged. This estrangement is engineered by the juxtaposition of Ifemelu's boredom with élite Nigerian culture and her satirical recalibration of Americanness—longing that oscillates between parody and desire—mutually contaminated registers. The air ticket frames both exit and re-entry; yet the arriving body is subjectively compromised, distressed by the narrowing distance between accented utterance and memory's jagged topologies. Consequently, Ifemelu's Nigerian subject position, distilled by recursive American appraisal, transmutes into a quivering measure of value. This discursive spar—a graft of U.S. racial pedagogy and embodied Lagosian rhythms—circulates precisely along that fault line where familiarity slides into resistance. Adichie no longer offers the return as mythic nomos or pure originary site; the homecoming then is simultaneously a confrontation with the irrecuperable residues of the diasporic past and the equally irrecuperable sediments of the diasporic present. Offering no repossessing Lagosian past as staging-ground, the "return" recasts itself as the dialectic of a present—renarrated and rewritten by the insistent axis of gender, skin, and camera lens—forever caught in the recursion of the past. Repatriation, thus, is neither a homecoming nor a leaving, but a renegotiation of isotopes, a negotiation still under structural repair and still asymmetric of trans-ocean bevel.

A similarly potent tension informs Purple Hibiscus, wherein home ceases to signify a stable locality and instead becomes tethered to experiential liberation and nurtured authenticity. Kambili's developmental arc, which traverses the constrictively violent atmosphere of her parental dwelling and culminates in the intellectually and emotionally expansive habitation jointly maintained by Aunty Ifeoma and her children, demonstrates that contact with home is mediated by capacities for flourishing, unreserved expression, and genuine security rather than by brick and mortar, maternal or paternal primacy. Only after her departure from her patriarch's militarised micro-sovereignty is Kambili positioned to imagine a renovated conception of the self. The physical act of leaving her father's citadel of control, therefore, translates, in the terms of the migrant psyche, into an internal diaspora—an exile from paralysing dread toward the experimentally hospitable horizon of self-formation. In this optic, the reconstituted home accrues its agency from the psychic liberation it secures, rather than from contiguity to latitude and longitude. In a parallel register, the question of home in Half of a Yellow Sun becomes inseparably braided to the violent national dislocation precipitated by the Biafran conflict. Olanna, Ugwu, and Odenigbo must therefore subject their erstwhile attachments to the nation-state, to ethnic affiliation, and to communal identity to merciless critique as they traverse the reconfigured

landscapes labouring under the double sign of survival and resistant tenacity. The conflict's relentless destructiveness iteratively dismantles any romanticised recourse to the belief in a monolithic homeland, revealing instead the tenuous character of political frontiers and the deeply provisional nature of collective identification. However, in the aftermath of loss and displacement, Adichie's characters continue to reconstruct meaning, identity, and affiliation. Thus, home is reimagined not as a return to the past, but as a fragile but vital act of reinvention in the face of historical trauma.

As Singh and Singh astutely observe, Adichie "rejects essentialist conceptions of African identity" and instead offers a vision of selfhood that is "dynamic, multifaceted," and profoundly shaped by "historical, cultural, and personal influences" (Singh and Singh 55). This fluid conception of identity also informs her treatment of home, where no singular location or lineage can fully anchor the postcolonial subject. Instead, belonging is articulated through layered experiences, negotiated affiliations, and the ongoing struggle for self-definition.

Under these parameters, Adichie's imaginative enterprise assumes the burden of postcolonial defiance: it baulks at the absolutism of exile versus hospitality, us versus them, the coloniser versus the colonised, the international versus the local spectre. The narratives assert, rather than resign, the knots of postcolonial existence, wherein such certitudes as home, sanctuary, or origin transform into iterative exercises of becoming—continually rephrased by the cartographies of travel, the palimpsest of recollection, and the agency of the empirical self. Refusing to console the gaze of nostalgia or offer sanctioned maps of cultural authenticity, Adichie reconstitutes home as a site of galvanising speculative labour and radical self-invention.

Conclusion

Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's diasporic fiction performs a decisive critique of overlapping discourses of identity, migration, race, gender, and power. Adichie's oeuvre persistently disrupts any monolithic economy of African identity, generating instead a complex tapestry of cross-temporal and cross-geographic subjectivities fashioned by lingering colonial wounds, transnational dispersal, and intercultural braiding. Ifemelu, Kambili, and Olanna emerge as exemplary cartographies of postcolonial psychic and social rumours, exposing the layered frictions of a subjectivity still suffused by inherited asymmetries, and bringing into the foreground the enhanced, planet-wide, and strategically propagated privations borne of gender.

The current study contends that her corpus unambiguously repudiates the identity politics of static homeland, and instead consolidates a mutable and performative notion of subject-being: identity hence is perpetually recalibrated by the vectors of fragile memorative return, by the dispersal of migratory flows, and by sedimented discursive re-negotiation. Through the conjunction of her storytelling with the affective and epistemological practices

of Critical Race Theory, and more pointedly, through a strategic architecture of narrative withholding, the texts excavate the sedimented corpses of institutionalised racism and their gendered residue. Ifemelu in Americanah emerges as a synthesising microcosm: her transatlantic odyssey is at once an act of migratory crossing, an awakening of insistent civic and private subjectivity, a reclamation of the self, and an adamant refusal of inherited, received, and in-scripted racialisations. Complementarily, Purple Hibiscus and Half of a Yellow Sun interrogate the intersecting afterlives of colonial violence, gendered patriarchy, and civil conflict while maintaining a steadfast affirmation of the individual's capacity to rewrite the terms of existence.

Adichie's narrative practice, therefore, reclaims the African voice through deliberate linguistic hybridity, scenic dramatisation, symbolic imagery, and polyphonic countervoices—techniques that function, mutatis mutandis, as instruments of epistemological resistance rather than as mere aesthetic ornament. They interrogate rather than merely illustrate. Code-mixing, epistolary insertions, and calibrated contrast force the reader to inhabit, affectively and politically, the ontological and affective frictions that animate her characters in real time, inviting a re-historicization of stories too often mediated through Eurocentric frames.

Ultimately, Adichie's fiction eschews closure in favour of widening the frame of diasporic dislocation, rendering the tensions it tracks visible, audible, and, indeed, intelligible. The work thus exceeds the bounds of African letters, infiltrating and renewing global discourses on race, identity, and feminist resistance simultaneously. Each narrative testifies to the insistent necessity of being performed and performed within the act of narrative. Such Acts, couched in dense, supple prose, provide the grammatical contours within which the reenchantment of Self and the reimagining of belonging occur in a lit world of rupture. By locating her protagonists at the intersection of postcolonial ultimatum and diasporic liquidity, Adichie asserts storytelling's capacity to resist erasure, to challenge dispersed statute, and to chart persistent, canonical, and equitable horizons of cultural autonomy.

References:

Adichie, Chimamanda Ngozi. *Americanah*. Anchor Books, 2013.
---. *Half of a Yellow Sun*. 4th Estate, 2006.
---. *Purple Hibiscus*. Algonquin Books, 2003.

Ahmad, Sharjeel, et al. "Demystifying the Turmoil of Cultural Identity and Racial Politics: A Diasporic Study of Adichie's *Americanah*." *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, vol. 19, no. 1, 2022, pp. 1416–1425.

Delgado, Richard, and Jean Stefancic. *Critical Race Theory: An Introduction*. 3rd ed., NYU Press, 2017.

Friday, Akporherhe. "Language and Narrative Techniques in Selected Works of Two Nigerian Diasporic Writers." *ANSU Journal of Language and Literary Studies (AJLLS)*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2022, pp. 167–180.

Hall, Stuart. "Cultural Identity and Diaspora." *Theorising Diaspora*, edited by Jana Evans Braziel and Anita Mannur, Blackwell Publishing, 2003, pp. 222–237.

Singh, Nisha, and Kiran Singh. "Identity and Diaspora in the Novels of Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie." *Accent Journal of Economics, Ecology & Engineering*, vol. 10, no. 5, 2025, pp. 52–56.